

vedanta transforming for good	Vedanta Guidance Note
Document Name	GN-42 Pre-Startup Safety Review Guidance Note
Document No.	VED/CORP/SUST/GN42
Date of Issue	6 <sup>th</sup> September 2022
Revision No.	RO
The management acknowledg of this Guidance Note:	es the contribution of the following individuals for development
Developed By	US Chakradhara Rao (Cairn) Maheshkumar Singla (HZL) Pranbesh Roy (HZL)
Reference Doc.	HZL Pre-Startup Safety Review Procedure (HZL/PSM 06)
Issued By	Rajinder Singh Ahuja Group Head HSE & Sustainability



# **Table of Contents**

1.	Scope and	d Field of Application	3
	1.1	Scope	3
	1.2	Principle	3
2.	Reference	2	3
3.	Managem	nent Responsibilities	3
4.	PSSR Proc	edure	4
	4.1	Scale and timing	5
	4.2	Team formation	5
	4.3	PSM element assessment	6
	4.4	Physical inspections (using a PSSR checklist)	7
	4.5	PSSR documentation and recommendations	8
5.	Managen	nent systems	8
	5.1	Support resources	8
	5.2	Management records	8
	5.3	Audit requirements	8
	5.4	GN renewal process	8
	5.5	Deviation process	9
	5.6	Training and communications requirements	9
6.	APPENDIC	CES	9
	Annexure	2-1: PSSR Report	9
	Anneyura	2-2: PSSR Checklist	11



### 1. Scope and Field of Application

### 1.1 Scope

This standard provides direction for ensuring that all appropriate elements of Process Safety Management (PSM) have been addressed satisfactorily in the **new and modified equipment** and the facility is safe for **new start up and restart up after long shut down**. In addition to the mandatory requirements of this standard, facilities shall comply with all mandatory regulatory requirements.

Those requirements in this standard which are noted in *italics* are mandatory.

#### 1.2 Principle

The PSSR provides a final checkpoint for new and modified equipment's/ facilities to confirm that all appropriate elements of PSM have been addressed satisfactorily and the facility is safe to start up.

**Note:** For capital projects, planning for success with the pre-start-up review begins with assembling a project team and scheduling the time for the PSSR in the project schedule. Effective project management, including careful planning and coordination of all PSM elements, is important to achieve a smooth start-up.

#### 2. Reference

- MS-7 Management of Change Standard
- Integrated Management System, legal compliance etc.

## 3. Management Responsibilities

Line management in businesses and functions has the responsibility to implement this standard.

Line management shall help ensure that personnel involved in activities with hazardous materials and operations are familiar with and comply with the mandatory requirement of this standard.

## 3.1 Site Leadership

**Location Head** shall have the following responsibilities:

- Provide support and resources to ensure that the Pre-Startup Safety Review process is implemented for the respective facility and is consistent with this Guidance Note.
- Responsible to appoint PSSR Administrator(s) for the facility.
- Help to ensure effective management of PSSR so that PSSR element is effectively implemented.
- Periodically reviews PSSR effectiveness.



#### 3.2 PSSR Administrator

- Responsible to oversee and provide guidance in implementation of this guidance note at site.
- Coordinate / conduct self-audits for effective implementation of this guidance note.
- Appoints the PSSR Team including a PSSR Team Leader, appropriate to the scale of the new / modified facility.
- He will act as an overall custodian of the PSSR documentation.

#### 3.3 PSSR Team

- Conducting the PSSR as per guidelines mentioned in this GN. This also includes ref to Annexure 2.
- Develop recommendations to be implemented before start-up.
- Develop recommendations that could be implemented after the start-up without compromising basic safety principles, WPS, PSM, standards intent & guidelines.

## 3.4. Location Safety Head / Safety Professionals

• Part of the PSSR Team

## 35. Actions Owners (Actions generated from the PSSR recommendations)

• To complete the action as per the agreed target date

# 3.6. SBU Head / Plant Manager

- To ensure timely completion of PSSR and to verify closure of PSSR recommendations to mitigate the identified risk before start of the plant.
- To communicate PSSR report to plant team.
- To fix responsibility, in discussion with PSSR team, for closure of recommendations / actions required before start-up.

#### 3.7. Operation Head

• To sign the PSSR pre-start up action completion report to authorize the plant team for startup.

#### 4. PSSR Procedure

PSM is directed toward preventing process-related incidents that might affect plant personnel, off-site communities, or the environment or result in the significant loss of property or business.

#### Sites shall perform PSSRs for following cases:

- Start of a new facility
- Start of a modified facility
- Start of new Project Area
- Installation of new Equipment
- Installation of Equipment after modification
- Restart after long shutdown
- Restart after major overhauling
- Or for all modification that results in a change in the documented PT (Process Technology).



Prior to the introduction of hazardous substances to a facility, the PSSR team shall confirm that

- Construction and equipment are in accordance with design specifications.
- All relevant elements of PSM have been appropriately addressed (PSM assessment).
- Basic safety, health, and environmental- and fire-protection items have been checked during a physical inspection of the facility. These inspections are usually guided via a pre-prepared "good practice" checklist.
- The facility is safe to start up. This may be contingent on completion of certain recommendations.

## 4.1. Scale and timing

All PSSRs shall include both a PSM element assessment and a physical inspection. Assessments are conducted by using a PSSR checklist.

Decisions on the scale and timing of the assessment are the responsibility of the Unit Head.

### Significant capital expenditures

For significant capital expenditures (more than INR 100 Lacs), it may be helpful to carry out a two-part review. The first should take place when design is complete, and construction is at an early stage or has not yet begun. This allows operating procedures, emergency planning, and training to be upgraded well in advance of start-up.

The second part of the review can then take place just prior to introduction of hazardous substances and focus on checking that construction and equipment are in accordance with design.

#### **Smaller projects or modifications**

For smaller projects or modifications, the assessment can be conducted using a PSSR checklist. The PSSR team should select relevant parts of a PSSR checklist to review, as appropriate, for the project or modification.

#### 42. Team Formation

The PSSRs shall be conducted by multidisciplinary teams consisting of a PSSR Team leader and other members from operations (including operator), technical, design, engineering & maintenance, and appropriate safety representatives on an as-needed basis. Other personnel or specialists may be included on an as-needed basis (e.g., electrical, instrumentation and control, ergonomics, and software).

For very minor changes, a smaller PSSR team may be used and could consist of two team members where there is no PT (Process Technology) change. Examples of minor changes include installation of a new drain valve and instrument transmitter bracket modifications. The team composition is the key to maximizing the value of a PSSR. The Location Head shall take responsibility for assembling a multidisciplinary team with knowledge and experience appropriate to the task.

#### The following points on team makeup are for guidance:

• Even for the smallest PSSRs, such as one that is conducted prior to starting up a single piece of equipment (e.g., a pump, heat exchanger, or vessel), the team should have minimum two



representatives from different backgrounds (e.g., operations, maintenance, design, and appropriate safety representatives). This helps to create a more searching and valuable review. People who operate or maintain the facility (e.g., an operator and a mechanic) should be included.

- Where the change involves introducing hazards (i.e., process, material, or environmental) that
  are new to the site, the PSSR team should consider including off-site personnel who have
  relevant knowledge and experience. These could be operations, maintenance, or appropriate
  safety representatives.
- PSSR team leader (independent of the operation/facilities/sections) should foster an open atmosphere where the project team members consider the review as an opportunity to upgrade the process safety of the facility and do not become defensive of the design or preparations for start-up.

#### 43. PSM element assessment

The PSSR team shall help ensure that all relevant PSM elements have been appropriately addressed. Elements to consider include the following:

# 4.3.1. Technology

# a) Process Technology (PT)

Process Technology (i.e., hazards of materials, equipment design basis, and process design basis) has been appropriately documented communicated to the Site Leadership/Unit Head and archived to a central location so that such information is readily available to facility personnel.

#### b) Process Hazard Analysis (PHA)

Recommendations have been addressed and appropriate actions associated with start-up have been completed.

# c) Operating Procedures & Safe Practices (OP & SP)

- Are in place, properly authorized, and adequate.
- Are consistent with the PT as documented.
- Have incorporated appropriate PHA recommendations.

# d) Management of Change (MOC-T)

A system has been set up to confirm that changes are properly authorized by competent personnel and recorded (e.g., by updating process and instrument drawings). Field changes made during project construction (i.e., following design and PHA) should be checked for proper authorization and recording.

#### 4.3.2. Personnel

#### a) Training and performance

All personnel should receive training on specific safety and health hazards, procedures, operating fundamentals, and emergency response. Training program should include consequence of non-compliances.

#### b) Contractor safety

Planned use of contract personnel should be in compliance with the principles and essential

•



features stated elsewhere in this guidance. For example, contractors should know of any potential fire, explosion, or toxic- release hazards near or at their place of work.

### c) Incident investigation

Procedures are in place to investigate incidents and follow up on recommendations. Recommendations from the Incident Investigation reports to be verified.

## d) Emergency Response Plan (ERP)

A written plan should be in place and personnel should be trained in how to respond. Procedures should be adequate and consistent with process technology.

### e) Auditing

Following start-up, the new facility should be included in the site PSM audit system.

#### 4.3.3. Facilities

### a) Quality Assurance (QA)

Procedures have been followed to fabricate critical equipment in accordance with design specifications and codes and to properly assemble and install it.

### b) Mechanical Integrity (MI)

Systems have been established and are in place (i.e., equipment test and inspections of critical equipment, reliability engineering analysis, maintenance procedures, and safety interlock checks).

#### c) Management of change—facilities

A system has been set up to manage subtle changes.

# 4.4. Physical inspections (using a PSSR checklist)

Physical inspections shall be guided by pre-written checklists and involve extensive field inspections. The attributes of a PSSR checklist are as follows:

- a) A clear front sheet stating what equipment has been inspected, when and by whom, including:
  - A statement that the installation is consistent with design specifications
  - A statement that the PSSR team concludes that the facility is safe to start up after certain recommendations have been satisfactorily resolved
  - A record of recommendations (if any) with timing and responsibility
- b) A check that the following basic safety and occupational health areas have been appropriately addressed:
  - General safety
  - Machinery safety
  - Ergonomics
  - Occupational health
- c) A check that the following PSM elements and topics have been appropriately addressed:
  - PT (e.g., hazards, process design, equipment design basis, and PSM-critical



- equipment identification)
- MOC-T and Facilities
- PHA (e.g., hazards, recommendations, and communication of results)
- QA (e.g., specifications, vendor inspections, certificates, and installation inspections)
- MI (e.g., written maintenance procedures, training, inspection frequencies, and spare parts listing)
- OP and SP (e.g., Standard Operating Conditions, PPE requirements, and detailed procedures and consequences of deviation)
- Training and performance
- Contractor safety
- Safety instrumented systems (e.g., safety interlocks and alarms)
- Heat-transfer media
- Highly toxic materials
- d) Where applicable, unique PSM regulatory coverage and requirements
- e) A check that other relevant SHE topics have been addressed
  - Environmental
  - Community awareness and emergency response
  - Electrical safety
  - Fire protection

#### 4.5. PSSR documentation and recommendations:

The PSSRs shall be documented, signed by each member of the review team, and authorized by the Site Leadership/Unit Head. The pre-start-up review document shall include a statement as follows.

"The PSSR team concludes that the equipment / facility is safe to start up after completion of recommendations required before start-up."

A system shall be established and controlled by the Site Leadership/Unit Head to help ensure that review recommendations are resolved (including documentation) before hazardous substances are introduced to the facility.

Similarly, a system shall be established by the Site Leadership/Unit Head to help ensure resolution of review recommendations that are to be completed after start-up.

Periodic reports listing open recommendations should be published monthly and distributed to the site's management, all persons assigned to follow up a recommendation, and the supervisors of persons assigned the recommendation's follow- up. The report should highlight recommendations that are past the due date.

This GN contains a PSSR report format in Annexure -1 and PSSR checklist in Annexure -2.

#### 5. Management systems

## 5.1. Support resources

Site PSM Committee / functions shall maintain resources to help resolve issues related to requirements, corporate standards, and policies.

# 5.2. Management records

Documentation shall be maintained as per company policy.



### 5.3. Audit requirements

Unit Safety function shall facilitate & ensure that periodic audits are carried out to ensure implementation of this Guidance Note.

# 5.4. GN renewal process

This GN shall be reviewed and revised as necessary and, at a minimum, not later than three years from the date of the last revision.

### 5.5. Deviation process

Deviation from any part of this GN must be authorized by the Location Head after consultation with the Safety and Legal functions. Deviations must be documented, and documentation must include the relevant facts supporting the deviation decision. Deviation authorization must be renewed periodically and no less frequently than every year.

# 5.6. Training and communications requirements

Training (Offline/Online) and communications shall be carried out in accordance with requirement of this GN.

#### 6. APPENDICES

Annexure - 1 - PSSR Report Annexure - 2 - PSSR Checklist

### **Annexure-1: PSSR Report**

INSPECTION DATE	
DEPARTMENT/ AREA	
PROJECT TITLE	
PROCESS/ EQUIPMENT	

#### **APPROVAL BY PSSR TEAM**

The PSSR team concludes that the equipment / processes are safe to start-up after completion of recommendations/ actions required before start-up.

PSSR Team Member Name	Department	Signature

					vedanta transforming for good
ACTION-ITE	MS TO BE COMPLE	TED BEFORE ST	ART-UP:		
Ref. No					Target Date
(Ref. clause from PSSR checklist)	Actio	n	Responsible	e / Sigi	nature of responsible person
Add more ro	ows as required)				
	AUTHORISED BY:				
(Opera	ations Head Name)	:	Signa	ture / Da	te

(Operations Head Name):	Signature / Date
-------------------------	------------------

# NOT TO BE SIGNED UNTIL THE ABOVE ACTION ITEMS ARE COMPLETED

# ACTION-ITEMS THAT CAN BE COMPLETED AFTER START-UP:

Ref. No (Ref. clause from PSSR checklist)	Actions	Responsible	Target Date/ Signature of responsible person



(Add more rows as required)

# Annexure - 2 Check list for Pre-Startup Safety Review

This checklist is presented in the form of questions. It is required to check [ $\nu$ ] the appropriate box while answering these questions. Any checks in boxes with an asterisk (\*) should be addressed through appropriate recommendations.

It is emphasized that this is not an all-inclusive listing but should serve to stimulate additional questions and actions.

## A. SAFETY & HEALTH

1.1 General Safety		NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
1.1.1	Have ALL personnel, (Operations, Maintenance, Technical, and Supervision), received adequate and appropriate training on the process /equipment?				
1.1.2	Has adequate and appropriate PPE (Personal Protective Equipment) been specified and provided?				
1.1.3	Have measures been taken adequately to guard dangerous part of the equipment? (360 deg. Machine Guarding)				
1.1.4	Has sufficient provision been made for the electrical and/or mechanical isolation of the equipment? (LOTO)				
1.1.5	Are points of isolation clearly marked/labeled and readily accessible?				
1.1.6	Have bump/trip hazards been identified and all sharp edges removed?	*			
1.1.7	Has proper guarding, handrails/barriers, been provided to prevent falls?	*			



1.1.8	Have all hot/cold surfaces been adequately guarded to prevent burns?	*			
1.1.9	Are Safety Showers and Eye Wash facilities provided and adequate?	*			
1.1.10	Has Lighting / Lux Survey has been carried out? Has sufficient lighting been provided as per survey recommendations so that operation, servicing, maintenance, and repair of the facility can be carried out safely?	*			
1.1.11	Are display systems for providing operational instructions, safety warnings and emergency information provided and positioned so that they are clearly visible and easily read?	*			
1.1.12	Are all required statutory licenses, consents, and approvals in place?	*			
1.1.13	Is housekeeping as per acceptable				
	standard?				
1.2 Macl	hinery Safety	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
1.2 Macl		NO *	YES	N/A	•
	Has the machinery been installed so that it will be stable andsecure	NO *	YES	N/A	•
1.2.1	Has the machinery been installed so that it will be stable andsecure during operation?  Has all access to dangerous moving parts, (or danger zones created by moving equipment) been prevented by the provision of the correct guards, interlocks and/or	NO *	YES	N/A	•



					1
	elimination of slip, trip, trap, crush, entanglement, fall, bump and cut hazards?				
1.2.5	Is the machinery provided with the properly identified START/STOP and EMERGENCY controls that are positioned for safe operation without hesitation, or loss of time, and without ambiguity?	*			
1.2.6	Is the machinery provided with a clearly identified means tosecurely isolate it from ALL energy sources?	*			
1.3 Ergo	onomics	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ $$ ])
1.3.1	Has the workstation, workplace, or equipment, been constructed so that the need for stooping, bending, stretching, over-reacting, and working over-head during operation has been eliminated, or reduced, to the minimum?	*			
1.3.2	Has the need to lift, carry, push, or pull, heavy loads, or parts,been eliminated as far as possible?	*			
1.3.3	Are all display screens, dials, START/STOP/EMERGENCY buttons positioned so that they are easily seen and accessible by those who will operate the equipment, or machine?	*			
1.3.4	Have Visual Display Screens been positioned so thatinterference from glare is reduced to the minimum?	*			
1.3.5	Has the workstation been designed and equipped so that a comfortable position can be adopted by the operator? i.e., able to stand, or change position and sit upright, angle at elbows and knees 90 deg., feet on floor.	*			
1.3.6	Does the operation of the equipment does not increase the risk of UpperLimb Disorder, e.g., repetitive tasks, handling of loads, machine paced work, and prolonged operation?	*			



1.4 Occ	upational Health	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
1.4.1	Have all health risks due to liquids, dusts, mists vapors or gases, used by, contained in, or emitted by the process/ equipment been assessed, and where necessary, eliminated or adequately controlled?	*			
1.4.2	Has adequate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and/or Respiratory Protective Equipment (RPE) been specified in the Operating Procedures?	*			
1.4.3	Has the need for an Occupational Health Monitoring Program been assessed?	*			
1.4.4	Have Operating Procedures been reviewed to take into account any additional "hazards to health" which may arise from operation or maintenance of this equipment?	*			
1.4.5	Has adequate Local Exhaust Ventilation (LEV) beeninstalled, tested, balanced, and entered on an Inspection (PM) Schedule?	*			
1.4.6	Have adequate inspection/cleaning ports been provided onall ductworks?	*			
1.4.7	Are relief facilities directed to a safe place away from theworkplace?	*			
1.4.8	Has a Noise Survey been considered, and a NoiseCompliance Plan prepared, if required?	*			
1.4.9	Has all pipe work, tanks, etc., containing hazardous materialsbeen adequately labeled?	*			
1.4.10	Are medical facilities available with competent medical personnel and are adequately stocked?	*			



# **B. PROCESS SAFETY MANAGEMENT**

2.1 Proc	ess Technology (PT)	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
2.1.1	Are up to date material safety data sheets available?	*			
2.1.2	Have the hazardous effects of inadvertent mixing of different materials including waste streams been considered (i.e., hasa chemical interaction matrix been prepared/updated)?	*			
2.1.3	Has the process design basis (e.g., Standard OperatingConditions) been documented /updated?	*			
2.1.4	Has the equipment design basis (e.g., Design specification/P&ID) been documented /updated?	*			
2.2 Prod	ess Hazards Analysis (PHA)	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ \forall])
2.2.1	Have project PHAs been approved, and a final project safetyreport been prepared?	*			
2.2.2	Are all action items, deemed necessary by the PHA team for start-up, complete?	*			
2.2.3	Has the facility been approved as "Safe to Operate" by thePHA team?	*			
2.3 Mar Facilities	nagement of Change – Technology /	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ \forall])
2.3.1	Has a management of change (MOC) – technology/facilitiessite procedure been approved?	*			
2.3.2	Are all action items, arising from the MOC, that were deemed necessary for start-up, complete?	*			
2.3.3	Have all changes made during construction/modificationbeen recorded and authorized?	*			
with pe	lity Assurance (consult rsonnel who have ation responsibilities)	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])



2.4.1	Have checks and inspections been made to ensure that critical equipment is installed properly and is consistent with design specifications and vendor's recommendations?	*			
2.4.2	Have quality assurance inspection reports, covering fabrication, assembly, and installation, been completed as per the project's qualification plan and reports filed with the equipment design basis documentation?	*			
	The PSSR team should agree on, and field check specificitems:  (a) Does the construction meet the				
2.4.3	design specifications?  (b) Does the construction match the drawings?	*			
2.5 Med	hanical Integrity	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
2.5.1	Have maintenance procedures been approved and issued?	*			
2.5.2	Have maintenance personnel been trained?	*			
	Have inspections and tests (including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?	*			
	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP	*			
	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?	*			
2.5.3	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?  Pressure vessels and storage tanks  Pressure relief systems, vent	*			
2.5.3	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?  Pressure vessels and storage tanks  Pressure relief systems, vent systems, and devices  Critical controls, interlocks, alarms,	*			
2.5.3	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?  Pressure vessels and storage tanks  Pressure relief systems, vent systems, and devices  Critical controls, interlocks, alarms, and instruments  Emergency devices, including shutdown systems andisolation	*			
2.5.3	(including regulatory requirements) for the following equipment been included in preventive maintenance schedule (i.e., added to the SAP System)?  Pressure vessels and storage tanks  Pressure relief systems, vent systems, and devices  Critical controls, interlocks, alarms, and instruments  Emergency devices, including shutdown systems andisolation systems	*			



	Emergency alarm and communication system	k			
	Monitoring devices and sensors	*			
	Pumps	*			
	Lifting equipment	*			
	Other identified PSM critical equipment (if any)	*			
2.5.4	Is the equipment inspected by an internal/external agencyand certificates are available? (E.g., lifting equipment test certificates, pressure systems regulations, etc.).	*			
2.5.5	ave all commissioning tests or spections been identified?(e.g., essure or leak tests)				
2.6 Ope Practice	rating Procedures & Safe Work s	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
2.6.1	Have operating procedures been prepared/updated andapproved?	· k			
2.6.2	Have site procedures for safe work practices (e.g., Permit to work, LOTO, Work at Height, Confined space entry etc.) been prepared/updated and approved?	*			
2.7 Train	ning and Performance	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
2.7.1	Has specific process (or job task) training been given to allpersonnel?	*			
2.7.2	Have initial/refresher training records been updated?	*			
2.8 Con	tractor Safety	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
2.8.2	Have all contract personnel been adequately trained inappropriate maintenance and operating activities?	*			
2.9 Inte	rlocks and Alarms	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ \forall])



2.9.1	Has the alarm/interlock been classified into safety (or) process interlocks and appropriate integrity levels are determined?	*		
2.9.2	Is the SOP for interlock/critical alarm testing been written and implemented prior to this PSSR?	*		
2.9.3	Have all interlocks been checked to ensure that those actions, under all conceivable failure conditions, to be fail-safe?	*		
2.9.4	For alarms/interlocks with more than one software or hardware circuit, have all possible interlock routes beentested?	*		
2.9.5	Do you have an appropriate procedure to ensure that your software is protected? (E.g., routinely archived, key/password protected etc.).	*		
2.9.6	Has the software been properly documented and filed? (E.g.,logic drawings, schematics, sequence/batch descriptions).	*		
2.9.7	Has all software been properly validated and tested?	*		
2.9.8	Have you ensured that the equipment does not restart either on the resetting of a protective device such as an interlock or the re-establishment of power after an outage?	*		
2.9.9	Are all installation and / or any changes to the SCADA / DCS (Control Software) have been tested and verified by the operators as functional?	*		

# **C. ENVIRONMENT**

3.0 ENVIRONMENT	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
				10 01011001 [ 1]/



3.1	Are all secondary containment/bundling facilities adequate?(Curb walls, Dykes etc.)	*		
3.2	Are all material storage facilities adequate and appropriately labeled?	*		
3.3	Have adequate arrangements been made, prior to start-up, for the identification, classification and safe disposal of all waste materials?	*		
3.4	Do Area Spill Procedures need to be developed / updated?	*		
3.5	Are relief vents/ facilities adequate and directed to an environmentally safe location?	*		
3.6	Have the Corporate Resource Conservation Guideline been followed during the design stage of this project?	*		
3.7	Have all waste streams been identified, quantified, analyzed and minimized?	*		
3.8	Is there a procedure for disposal of solid/liquid waste?	*		
D. EMER	GENCY RESPONSE PLANNING			

4.0 EME	ERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ $$ ])
4.1	Are all necessary Emergency warning signs, lights or other devices installed where necessary?	*			
4.2	Have all necessary precautions been taken to ensure that the equipment inspected is not a source of ignition to any flammable materials, irrespective of their source?	*			
4.3	Are fire protection facilities adequate, e.g., fire extinguishers, fire walls, sprinkler systems, Alarm Boxes, foam system etc., and have they been included on an Inspection Schedule?	*			



4.4	Are Emergency Escape Routes, including ladders, adequateand properly signposted?	*		
4.5	Is Emergency Lighting adequate & tested?	*		
4.6	Is sufficient Respiratory Protective Equipment, such as Escape Sets or Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA)available?	*		
4.7	Have Emergency Procedures been prepared, and relevant personnel trained?	*		
4.8	Are assembly points / muster points clearly marked and appropriately selected?	*		

# **E. ELECTRICAL SAFETY**

5.0 ELE	CTRICAL SAFETY	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ v])
5.1	Have operations/areas been electrically classified?	*			
5.2	Has the equipment been properly installed and constructed to the company standards and local legislation and does it meet any special installation requirements noted on the manufacturer's certificate?	*			
5.3	Are all live parts adequately enclosed to prevent access?	*			
5.4	Do earthing/ grounding / bonding comply with local standards/legislation?	*			
5.5	Are MCCs and electrical isolation devices appropriatelylabeled?	*			
5.6	Have fuses or circuit breakers been provided which willautomatically disconnect the supply?	*			
5.7	Are first aid stations, single line drawings and PPE requirements available in	*			



	MCC/PCC/Main Load Tension Panel (MLTP)/Sub stations as appropriate?			
5.8	Have all relevant documentation and drawings (e.g., P&IDs,SLD's, Schematics, equipment arrangement, I/O, logic, electrical classification and Panel Schedule drawings) beenupdated to reflect the current installation?	*		
5.9	Have all new Substation Breakers, MCC isolators, starters orother appropriate equipment been added on to the site inspection schedule?	*		
5.10	Have any electrical circuits, made redundant by this installation, been properly removed?	*		
5.11	Whether Lightening arrester circuit / connectivity is there or not.	*		

# F. FIRE PROTECTION

6.0 FIRI	E PROTECTION	NO	YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ $$ ])
6.1	Does the site have a written emergency control plan that includes a list of the major workplace fire hazards, proper handling and storage procedures, potential ignition sources (such as welding, smoking and others), and the type of fire protection equipment or systems that can control a fire involving them?	*			
6.2	Are fire separations/ Fire walls identified and maintained properly to prevent fire spread including separations betweenoperations and storage areas?	*			
6.3	Does the site fire water supply have sufficient capacity to supply the design demand for the specified duration (one tofour hours – depending on the severity of the hazard)?	*			



6.4	Can the fire pumps deliver 100% of the maximum fire water demand at the required pressure for the largest credible fire expected to occur on the site?	*				
6.5	Have new sprinkler/foam systems been tested to ensure theymeet the Acceptance Requirements of NFPA 13/NFPA 16 and will all sprinkler systems be maintained in accordance with NFPA 25?	*				
6.6	Are all the facilities that handle flammable liquids fullyprotected with water spray, foam, or other automatic suppression system that complies with a nationally recognized code or standard?	*				
6.7	Is Nomex or garments made from other flame-resistant fibersworn by personnel who work with flammable liquids?	*				
6.8	Is all portable fire extinguishing equipment located in proper locations along normal paths of travel, including exits from areas, and will this equipment be properly maintained per NFPA 10?	*				
6.9	Is there a site fire alarm system and are alarms perceivableand above ambient noise or light levels?	*				
6.10	Has the site established a site ERT and are all ERT members trained to a level of competency commensurate with the duties members are expected to perform?	*				
G. OTHE	RS (For any additional requirement s	pecific to	Eq	uipme	ent)	
7.0 OTH	HERS	NO		YES	N/A	Remarks (If 'No' is ticked [ $$ ])